

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,041	02/15/2002	Max Stern	STN.0108	1910
30733 75	590 09/29/2005	EXAMINER		INER
Jeffrey C. Maynard 154 Barbara Road Severna Park, MD 21146			BROCKETTI, JULIE K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3713	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Co	
XI	
/) V	

Office Action Summary Light Saminer Art Unit Julie K. Brocketti 3713		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Julie K. Brocketti 3713 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is less then thely (50) days, a reply within the statistory immore of thiny (30) days will be considered friency. If the period for reply specified above is less then thely (50) days, a reply within the statistory immore of thiny (30) days will be considered friency. If the period for reply specified above is less then thely (50) days, a reply within the statistory immore of thiny (30) days will be considered friency. If the period for reply specified above is less then thely (50) days, a reply within the statistory immore of thiny (30) days will be considered friency. If the period for reply specified above is less then thely (50) days, a reply within the statistory immore of thiny (30) days will be considered friency. If the period for reply specified above is less than the reply within the statistory immore of thiny (30) days will be considered friency. If the period for reply specified above is less than the reply within the statistory immore of thiny (40) days will be considered friency. Any reply received by the Office lister than these marks after the mailing date of this communication. Status Status Status Status Status Status Status Claim (5) application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim (5) 1.4.6.7 and 14-21 Is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim (5) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim (5) is/are allowed. 6) Claim (6) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing (5) filed on is/are allowed. 11) Allowed p		10/077,041	STERN, MAX				
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ederations of time may be available under the processions of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed If the period for reply septicided shows be test than thish (30) days, a reply within the statisty minimum of think (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply septicided shows be test than thish (30) days, a reply within the statisty minimum of think (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply septicided shows be the statisty period will apple day will be provided to the communication of the period of this communication. Fainth to reply within the sold or exception of the period of the period of the communication of the period of the communication of the period of the communication. Fainth to reply within the sold or self-shows the near than the period of the communication of the period of the communication of the period of the communication. Fainth to reply within the sold or self-shows the period of the communication of the period of the communication. Fainth to reply within the sold or self-shows the period of the communication of the communication. Status I) Septiment the period of the period of the period of the communication of the	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(s) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Statestics of times may be amailable under the provincious of 375R 1.35(s). In no event, horowore, may a reply be timely filled - Statestics of times may be available under the provincious of 375R 1.35(s). In no event, horowore, may a reply be timely filled - Statestics of times may be available under the provincious of 375R 1.35(s). In no event, horowore, may a reply be timely filled - Statestics of times are available to the state of the st							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be windle under the provision of 30°CR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SX (6) MONTRS from his enaling date of this communication. Fallular SX (6) MONTRS from his enaling date of this communication. Fallular is reply within his est of extended prend of reply will, by attacks, cause the application to become ARANDOREO (50°U.5.4) 1333. Any ruphy received by the Office in the thin termination after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any owner plants them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 12_July 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is replacation is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4.6.7 and 14-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-4.6.7 and 14-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-4.6.7 and 14-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are allowed. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are allowed. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: all partoved by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: all paperoved by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority documents have been received. 2) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the att							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4.6.7 and 14-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a approved b disapproved by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some *c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-4.6.7 and 14-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3. All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	,	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Old Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	4) Claim(s) 1-4,6,7 and 14-21 is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 1-4,6,7 and 14-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7 and 14-21</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,— ,						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	,						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 10 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		•					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). C) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 14, 16, 17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Travis et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,380,007 in view of the DC Lottery's "DC Lucky Numbers" in further view of Snowden et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,417,424. Travis et al. discloses a gaming device and method for playing a game. The game is a slot machine and includes game initiating means to initiate a game on the machine (col. 2 lines 7-9). A display means is disposed to display the game being played on the machine. The display means is arranged to display a plurality of elements having indicia each associated with a symbol. The machine further includes buttons indicating grid reference on the display so that indicia positions may be selected by pressing the buttons (Fig. 4; col. 2 lines 3-5; col. 3 lines 41-50). The display is an electromechanical device (Fig. 1; col. 2 lines 32-34). The game has a game controller, which provides a first set of contest elements having a surface area with playing indicia on the surface area. The game

controller displays all elements of a first set of contest elements to a player of the game. The player is then permitted to select a plurality of elements from the first set of contest elements for game play, wherein the quantity of elements selected corresponds to the number of elements for matching (col. 2 lines 3-5). A table of values is established for matching the selected contest elements based on the number of elements selected (col. 3 lines 51-54). The controller then provides a sufficient number of additional sets of contest elements. The additional number of sets is equal to the number of elements selected by the player for play of the game and each additional set is identical to the first set of elements. The controller then randomly selects one element from each of the additional sets of elements and compares the randomly selected elements to a user selected contest elements obtained. The controller then evaluates the number of matched contest elements selected by the player against the table of values (Fig. 3; col. 7 lines 23-41). The number of contest elements in the first set is at least ten and the player selects at least two elements from the first set of contest elements. The player selects no more than eight elements form the first set of contest elements (Fig. 1). The game controller is an electronic video game machine, i.e. a computer. Players may place a wager on each possible matching outcome. If the player has a winning combination of contest elements as determined by the table of values according to the player's wager, the player is paid an award. The game has an electronic system for playing a slot machine game and has a plurality of play options wherein a win or a loss is

determined after each play of the game. The system includes a game enclosure, including a player interface means for a player to physically interact with the system. A bet value entry means is used for generating a bet value signal to the system representing an amount of a bet placed by a player (col. 4) lines 35-50). Player display means visually indicates to the player a set of elements having indicia thereon. Player selection means allows the player to select a plurality of elements from the set of elements (col. 4 lines 53-55). A game control means is responsive to the player selection means wherein control means randomly selects from the set of elements having indicia thereon equal to a number of elements (Fig. 1). A processor compares the indicia on the player selected elements to the indicia on the randomly selected elements and awards a prize to the player in the event that one or more of the user selected elements obtains a winning line according to a table of values (Fig. 6). The processor means is electrically connected to the player display means, the player selection means, the bet value entry means and to the game control means (Fig. 1). Travis et al. discloses all of the limitations mentioned above including that the game controller is an electronic video game machine, i.e. a computer. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made that the game controller can be selected from the group consisting of electronic video game machines, mechanical game machines, computers, hand-held mechanical devices and hand-held video devices. All of these types of machines are well known in the art and are capable of implementing the game

method described in Travis et al. It is up to the inventor's discretion which game machine to use. Players enjoy all types of gaming machines and by implanting the method of Travis et al. on various machines; more players would be interested in the game.

Travis lacks in disclosing that the player select the style of the game including matching elements IN ORDER, in DISORDER or a COMBINATION of matching elements in order and in disorder. The DC Lottery's game "DC Lucky Numbers" allows players to select the style of a game for matching contest elements, i.e. numbers. The style is selected form the group consisting of matching elements IN ORDER wherein a selected element matches a randomly produced element in a selected location; matching elements in DISORDER wherein a selected element matches a randomly produced element in any location and a COMBINATION of elements in ORDER and DISORDER. A player places a wager on each possible matching outcome according to the style of the game selected. A table of values is established for a plurality of elements based on the selected contest numbers, the number of elements selected and the style of the game selected wherein an extra payout is given for matching all elements in the selected order in the COMBINATION style of play (See "DC Lucky Numbers"). For example, a player may select the style of game to play, either straight (IN ORDER), box (DISORDER), straight/box (COMBINATION), combo, front pair (COMBINATION) or back pair (COMBINATION) and are awarded different amounts based on which game style they selected to play. If the

player selects straight/box they receive money for the straight \$250 and money for the box \$80, therefore an extra payout is given. The player may repeat the selection of any element as often as desired up to the limit of the number of elements to be selected only if the style of game is to match elements IN ORDER. For example, the player may wish to play more than one game using an Advance Play feature wherein their bet is repeated. It is obvious that the number of wagers could be equal to the number of elements selected when the style of game is to match elements IN ORDER, the number of wagers could be equal to one or more than the number of elements selected when the style of game is to match elements in DISORDER and the number of wagers could be equal to two or more than the number of elements selected when the style of game is to match elements in COMBINED. DC Lottery teaches of a variety of wagering styles and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement any type of wagering style that is profitable to lottery. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the game styles and wagering of "DC Lucky Numbers" into the lottery gaming device of Travis. Lottery players enjoy selecting their numbers and the types of combinations that are winners, i.e. straight, box, etc. Therefore, by allowing the gaming players of Travis to select the style of the game they wish to play, players would have a more enjoyable gaming experience because they have more control over what types of combinations they are wagering on.

Travis and "DC Lucky Numbers" lacks in disclosing a payout for matching no elements in the DISORDER style of game. Snowden teaches of a lottery/keno game in which a table of values includes a payout for matching no element in a DISORDER style of game (See Snowden col. 7 lines 34-40) [claims 1, 14, 19]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to give a payout when no elements are matched in the DISORDER style of game of "DC Lucky Numbers". The probability of not matching any numbers when a lot of numbers are selected and drawn is small and therefore, one could payout an award to a player for achieving this. Furthermore, players enjoy payouts and by paying a player a prize for matching no elements would give players an extra way to achieve a payout; thereby, making the players enjoy the game even more.

Claims 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Travis et al., in view of "DC Lucky Numbers" in view of Snowden in further view of Morro et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,947,820. Travis et al. lacks in disclosing a touch sensitive screen and a set of rotatable reels. Morro et al. discloses an electronic game in which the display means comprises a touch sensitive video screen and the player selects indicia by touching areas on the screen in which the indicia are displayed (See Morro et al. col. 3 lines 43-48). The display means further comprises a set of rotatable reels (See Morro et al. Fig. 2). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to use a touch screen display in the invention of Travis et al. Touch screens are

well known in the art and allow the player to directly touch the item they wish to select. It simplifies the selection process for the player and they do not need to learn how various buttons operate. It would also have been obvious at the time the invention was made to use rotatable reels in the invention of Travis et al. Many games use rotatable reels to simulate the selection of a random number or symbol. Players have enjoyed games with rotatable reels throughout the years and it is obvious to implement this display method in Travis et al. in order to appeal to those players who enjoy rotatable reel games.

Response to Amendment

It has been noted that claims 1, 14 and 19 have been amended.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 12, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that nothing in Travis or DC Lucky Numbers discloses or suggests the limitations of the claims as amended. The Examiner disagrees and notes the rejection above.

Applicant argues that there is no teaching or suggestion in either Travis or DC Lucky Numbers that a player can select the number of elements to match as well as the specific elements, in a single game. Applicant further asserts that Travis only describes the ability to select the numbers he or she

desires to play and it does not describe an ability to first select how many elements to try to match and then select the specific elements. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that a player first selects how many elements he or she is trying to match and then selects the numbers he or she desires to play) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The claims state, for example, in claim 1 "...permitting the player to select a plurality of elements from said first set of contest elements for play of the selected style of game, wherein the quantity of elements selected by the player corresponds to the number of elements for matching..." In Applicant's claims, the player selects two things, first, a style of game, and second, a plurality of elements. Nowhere in the claims does it state that the player has a separate step of first selecting how many elements they want to match and then selecting the specific numbers. The two steps are intermixed in the claims just as they are in the references such that when a player selects the numbers they want they are obviously selecting the number of elements they wish to match. For example if a player selects the numbers 3, 7, 9, they are also simultaneously selecting that they want to match 3 elements. Nevertheless, the Examiner also points out that the concept of initially selecting how many

elements a player will try to match is old and well known. When players go to a lottery terminal and say they want to play the pick 3 or the pick 4 they are saying how many elements they wish to match. This is not a new concept.

Applicant argues that in his invention, it is possible to obtain a win by machine none of the selected elements as now recited in the claim language.

The Examiner notes that this is well known throughout the art and the reference Snowden has been added to teach this limitation.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,041 Page 11

Art Unit: 3713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie K. Brocketti whose telephone number is 571-272-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on 571-272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-Juli Brochette 9197 (toll-free).

> Julie K Brocketti Primary Examiner Art Unit 3713